

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/726,956	FANSLER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Helen L. Pezzuto	1713	

**All Participants:**

(1) Helen L. Pezzuto.

(2) Kent S. Kokko.

**Status of Application: 30**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 April 2004

**Time:** 2:00PM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*N/A*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The incorporation of the structural limitation of claim 6 into claim 1 so as to better define the present telechelic (co)polymer, as well as minor typo corrections.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)